



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,903

10/30/2003

Harm Peter Hofstee

AUS920030403US1

9209

40412

7590

07/05/2006

IBM CORPORATION- AUSTIN (JVL)
C/O VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN
PO BOX 90609
AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609

EXAMINER

HASSAN, AURANGZEB

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2182

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,903	HOFSTEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aurangzeb Hassan	2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 8-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita (US Patent Number 6,366,109) in view of Miller et al. (US Patent Number 4,292,668 hereinafter "Miller").

3. As per claims 8, 15 and 21 Matsushita teaches a method, system and product comprising,

one or more processors (processor, lines 40 – 44);

one or more interface pins (column 1, lines 43 – 45);

an interface controller (element 40, figure 1);

a memory accessible by the processors (memory, element 100, figure 4, column 6, lines 18 – 20);

one or more nonvolatile storage devices accessible by the processors (element 9, auxiliary storage such as hard disc drives, a floppy disc drive, etc, figure 1); and

an interface pin assignment tool for assigning one or more of the interface pins to the interface controller, the interface pin assignment tool including:

means for receiving a first assignment request (signal, element 44, figure 1);
means for identifying one or more of the interface pins that correspond to the first assignment request (address signal, element 46, figure 2); and
means for associating the identified interface pins with the selected interface controller (recognition decoder carries out means for associating, column 6, lines 9 – 23).

Matsushita discloses the I/O controller connected to multiple I/O devices but does not explicitly disclose a system having plurality of interface controllers; a means for selecting a first interface controller from the plurality of interface controllers that correspond to the first assignment request.

Miller analogously teaches a plurality of interface controllers (IOC, elements 206, 208, 210, 212, figure 1); and a means for selecting a first interface controller from the plurality of interface controllers that correspond to the first assignment request (selection of IOC based on selection of peripheral device requesting first assignment, column 14, lines 4 – 24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Matsushita with the above teachings of Miller such that it comprises a I/O Controller for a I/O device is needed to function appropriately. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to make such modification in order to enable the function for a multitude of peripherals to interface via I/O Controllers and processor (column 7, lines 53 – 67, column 8, lines 1 – 10).

Art Unit: 2182

4. Matsushita modified by the teachings of Miller as applied in claims 8, 15 and 21 above, as per claims 9, 16 and 22 Matsushita teaches a method, system and product wherein the identified interface pins are selected from the group consisting of an input interface pin (physical pin, column 3, lines 27 – 32) and an output interface pin (outputs, column 7, lines 6 – 12).

5. Matsushita modified by the teachings of Miller as applied in claims 8, 15 and 21 above, as per claims 10, 17 and 23 Matsushita teaches a method, system and product comprising,

receiving a second assignment request, the second assignment request corresponding to the identified interface pins (different semiconductor devices, column 6, lines 58 – 67);

selecting a second interface controller from the plurality of interface controllers that correspond to the second assignment request (unit selecting, column 7, lines 56 – 61); and

re-associating the identified interface pins to the second interface controller (column 7, lines 59 – 61).

6. As per claims 11, 18 and 24 Matsushita teaches a method, system and product wherein the associating is performed using a look-up table (pin correspondence table, column 1, lines 26 – 34).

7. Matsushita modified by the teachings of Miller as applied in claims 8, 15 and 21 above, as per claims 12, 19 and 25 Matsushita teaches a method, system and product further comprising:

determining whether there are more interface pins that are not associated with the first interface controller (column 6, lines 45 –52); and

assigning the non-associated interface pins to a second interface controller in response to the determination (inactivated, column 6, lines 53 – 57).

8. As per claims 13, 20 and 26 Matsushita teaches a method, system and product comprising,

receiving data from the identified interface pins (input signal); and

providing the data to the first interface controller (input signal, element 62, figure 2, column 4, lines 45 – 49).

9. Matsushita modified by the teachings of Miller as applied in claims 8, 15 and 21 above, as per claims 14 and 27 Miller teaches a method and product wherein the associating is performed at system initialization (system startup/initialization sequence, figure 16).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 – 27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, however in response to interpretation

Art Unit: 2182

of "recognition decoder" taught by Matsushita, the examiner further notes that original Office Action cites a "recognition decoder" not to be interpreted as the selected interface decoder but rather the "recognition decoder" has the functionality to proceed with the "means for associating" claimed by the applicant in claim 8.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Number 6,035,345 describes an I/O Controller with a switching pin assignment arrangement for a multitude of differing connectors.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aurangzeb Hassan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AH



KIM HUYNH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

6/26/06